



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,936	11/02/2000	Lee A Neitzel	06005/35528	4816

7590 06/06/2006

Roger A Heppermann
Marshall O'Toole Gerstein Murray & Borun
6300 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606-6402

EXAMINER

LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/704,936	Applicant(s) NEITZEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew C. Lee	Art Unit 2616	

-- **Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sh t with th correspond nce address --**
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2006.
 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 8-10, 12-15, 17-20, 22-26, 28-32, 34, 36-41, 43, 45-47, 49, 50, 52, 54 and 55 is/are rejected.
 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 7, 11, 16, 21, 27, 33, 35, 42, 44, 48, 51, 53 and 56 is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 17, 29, 49, 2, 3, 5, 36, 6, 28, 37, 45, 54, 8, 18, 9, 19, 10, 20, 31, 46, 47, 50, 12, 22, 13, 23, 30, 14, 24, 25, 43, 52, 15, 26, 34, 32, 38, 39, 40, 41, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liebowitz et al. (US 5812545).

Regarding claims 1, 17, 29, 49, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of a method of transmitting data through a communication link having a bandwidth using a plurality of communication connections (recited “FAD also create multiple incoming data queues” as having a bandwidth using a plurality of communication connections; Fig. 7B, Fig. 2, column 5, lines 58 – 64); the method comprising the steps of: establishing a worker object for each one of the communication connections (recited “FAD can break each frame into smaller data segments and stores as many fragments as possible in a burst buffer” as establishing a worker object for each one of the communication connections; Fig. 4, column 4, lines 51 – 54); distributing the data amongst the worker objects (recited “creates an outgoing data queue corresponding to each user access device for storing data received therefrom” as distributing the data amongst the worker objects; column 4, lines 44 – 46); forming messages using the distributed data within

each worker object and a parameter of that worker object (recited “collection of fragments is called the payload” as forming messages using the distributed data within each worker object, and recited “payload header which identifies the location of each fragment” as a parameter of that worker object; column 4, lines 52 – 67); and delivering the messages to an underlying layer of the plurality of communication connections so that each communication connection uses no more than a predetermined portion of the bandwidth (recited “fragment identifier relating a fragment to the frame from which it was derived and the burst buffer also stores bandwidth requests for transmissions” as delivering the messages to an underlying layer of the plurality of communication connections so that each communication connection uses no more than a predetermined portion of the bandwidth; Fig. 7B and Fig. 4, column 4, lines 53 – 67).

Regarding claim 2, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising the step of allocating a predetermined portion of the bandwidth to each of the plurality of communication connections (recited “burst buffer also stores bandwidth request for transmission” as allocating a predetermined portion of the bandwidth; Fig. 7B, column 4, lines 63 – 64).

Regarding Claim 3, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of allocating the predetermined portion of the bandwidth to each of the plurality of communication connections (recited “burst buffer also stores bandwidth request for transmission” as allocating a predetermined portion of the bandwidth; Fig. 7B, column 4, lines 63 – 64) including the step of allocating different predetermined portions of the bandwidth to

Art Unit: 2616

two of the plurality of communication connections (recited “a stream request is a request for a guaranteed amount of bandwidth, stream requests are usually made on behalf of voice and video calls” as allocating different predetermined portions of the bandwidth to two of the plurality of communication connections; column 5, lines 58 – 62).

Regarding Claims 4, 35, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of allocating the predetermined portion of the bandwidth to each of the plurality of communication connections including the step of setting a time between calls parameter for each of the plurality of communication connections (Fig. 3G, column 13, lines 52 – 56; column 17, lines 9 – 19; lines 48 – 55).

Regarding claims 5, 36, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of allocating the predetermined portion of the bandwidth to each of the plurality of communication connections including the step of setting a message size parameter for each of the plurality of communication connections (recited element “frame.length” as controls the size of the messages; column 14, table I).

Regarding claims 6, 28, 37, 45, 54, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of allocating the predetermined portion on the bandwidth to each of the plurality of communication connections including the step of setting, a sending buffer size for each of the plurality of communication connections (recited “size of the burst buffer” as

Art Unit: 2616

sending buffer size for each of the plurality of communication connections; column 4, lines 63 – 67).

Regarding claims 8, 18, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of establishing the worker object for each one of the plurality of communication connections including the step of using the worker object to instantiate one of the plurality of communication connections (recited “stores real time data from the outgoing data queues associated with ports configured to receive real time data in FIFO” as establishing the worker object for each one of the plurality of communication connections including the step of using the worker object to instantiate one of the plurality of communication connections; column 5, lines 18 – 20).

Regarding claims 9, 19, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising the step of partitioning the data to form a plurality of partitioned data streams prior to distributing the data amongst the worker (recited “break each frame into smaller segments called fragments and stores as many fragments as possible in a burst buffer” as partitioning the data to form a plurality of partitioned data streams prior to distributing the data amongst the worker; column 4, lines 51 – 56).

Regarding claims 10, 20, 31, 46, 47, 50, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of partitioning the data to form a plurality of partitioned data streams prior to distributing the data amongst the worker objects including the step of

Art Unit: 2616

partitioning the data based on a type of data (recited “real time data and non real time data” as partitioning the data based on a type of data; column 5, lines 7 – 22).

Regarding claims 12, 22, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of distributing the data amongst the worker objects including the step of transferring a subset of the data to one of the worker objects in response to a request for data from the one worker object (recited “all data streams share the burst buffer regardless of whether they contain voice, video or data” as transferring a subset of the data to one of the worker objects in response to a request for data from the one worker object; column 16, lines 16 – 21).

Regarding claims 13, 23, 30, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of distributing the data amongst the worker objects including the step of using a data transmission object (recited “Fragment Assembler/ Disassembler (FAD)” as a data transmission object; column 4, lines 42 – 50).

Regarding claims 14, 24, 25, 43, 52, Liebowitz et al. disclose the method of claimed wherein the step of forming the messages using the distributed data within each worker object including the step of forming the messages within each worker object using a parameter of that worker object that controls the size of the messages (recited element “frame.length” as controls the size of the messages; column 14, table I).

Regarding claims 15, 26, 34, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of delivering the messages formed within one of the worker objects including the step of delivering the messages formed within the one worker object to the underlying layer based on a parameter of the one worker object that affects the rate at which the messages are delivered to the underlying layer (recited “FAD examines each frame in accordance with the high level application protocol corresponding to the source of that frame “ as delivering the messages formed within the one worker object to the underlying layer based on a parameter of the one worker object that affects the rate; column 6, lines 16 – 31).

Regarding claim 32, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the system of claimed wherein each of the plurality of worker processes is based on a worker object (recited “created several burst buffers depending on the amount of data received from the user access devices via Frame Handler module” as the plurality of worker processes is based on a worker object; column 4, lines 54 – 56).

Regarding Claim 38, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of a system for transmitting data through a communication link comprising: a communication station having a processor and a memory communicatively coupled to the processor (recited “a processor having a digital memory device” as having a processor and a memory communicatively coupled to the processor column 21, lines 33 – 39), wherein the processor is programmed to provide a plurality of work objects that each instantiates a separate communication connection through the communication link and wherein each of the plurality of worker objects includes a set of communication

Art Unit: 2616

connection parameters that are uniquely configurable to determine the manner in which the data is sent to an underlying layer of the communication link (recited “said processor being operable to generate bursts using data received” as programmed to provide a plurality of work objects that each instantiates a separate communication connection through the communication link column 21, lines 33 – 39; 51 – 65).

Regarding Claim 39, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the system of claimed wherein the communication station is a sending communication gateway (Fig 5, elements 1, 2, 3 as sending communication gateway; Fig. 4, column 6, lines 46 – 55).

Regarding Claim 40, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the system of claimed wherein the communication station is a receiving communication gateway (recited element “terminal” as a receiving communication gateway; Fig. 2, column 3, lines 58 – 67).

Regarding Claim 41, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the system of claimed wherein each of the separate communication connection using a connection-oriented communication protocol (recited “to assign a fixed and guaranteed bandwidth to a PVC” as using a connection-oriented communication protocol; Fig. 8, column 16, lines 33 – 42).

Regarding Claim 55, Liebowitz et al. disclose the limitation of the method of claimed wherein the step of uniquely configuring the set of communication connection parameters uniquely associated with each of the worker processes including the steps of configuring the sets

Art Unit: 2616

of communication connection parameters to provide a reserved bandwidth for retransmission (recited “transmission capacity provided by the number of slots per time frame which the terminal has permanently reserved” as to provide a reserved bandwidth for retransmission ; column 11, lines 41 – 45).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 35, 11, 21, 33, 48, 7, 16, 27, 42, 44, 51, 53, 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion


4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ACL

June 01, 2006


RICKY Q. NGO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER